

PROPOSAL FOR THE CREATION OF A NEW WORKING GROUP (1)

| | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| WG* N° C5.22 | Name of Convenor : David Bowker (Australia) E-mail address: David.Bowker@hydro.com.au | |
| Technical Issues # (2): 10 | | Strategic Directions # (3): 2 |
| The WG applies to distribution networks (4): No | | |
| Title of the Group: The Management of Systemic Market Risk in Electricity Markets | | |
| <p>Scope, deliverables and proposed time schedule of the Group :</p> <p>Background : For the purposes of this project it is proposed to define Systemic Risk in the following way:</p> <p>Systemic risk is the risk of collapse of an entire market, as opposed to risk associated with any one individual entity, group or component of a system that can be contained within the market without harming the entire system. It can be defined as "market <i>system</i> instability, potentially catastrophic, caused or exacerbated by idiosyncratic events or conditions in intermediaries". It refers to the risks imposed by <i>interlinkages</i> and <i>interdependencies</i> in a system or market, where the failure of a single entity or cluster of entities can cause a cascading failure, which could potentially bankrupt or bring down the entire system or market.</p> <p>A couple of examples which we expect to consider are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where a very large market participant who is too big to fail does fail • A very severe drought in a market heavily dependent on hydro-generation • Market participant financial failure caused by a significant physical system disturbance. <p>Scope : This working group will collect information from various markets on the way in which systemic market risk is approached. The broad approach will be to assess:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Is there a systemic risk in your market? – How is the systemic risk addressed? – Is a central counterparty or clearing house the solution? – What other methods could be used to mitigate the risk? <p>The outcomes will be an assessment of the overall approaches which have been adopted and an attempt to categorise approaches and link these to basic market, cultural or geographic parameters.</p> <p>Deliverables : A technical brochure, summary Electra article, tutorial material if required</p> <p>Time Schedule : Start: January 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop final work plan and recruit members March 2017 • First meeting (in Dublin) to discuss draft information form May 2017 • Finalise information form and approach members October 2017 | | |

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| • Compile data | February 2018 |
| • Analyze data | May 2018 |
| • Review of Data and develop insights (Paris) | August 2018 |
| • Draft Report with conclusions | November 2018 |
| • Final report approved | March 2019 |
| Comments from Chairmen of SCs concerned : | |
| Approval by Technical Committee Chairman : Date : 01/12/2016 | |
|  | |

- (1) Joint Working Group (JWG) - (2) See attached table 1 – (3) See attached table 2
(4) Delete as appropriate

Table 1: Technical Issues of the TC project “Network of the Future” (cf. Electra 256 June 2011)

| | |
|-----------|--|
| 1 | Active Distribution Networks resulting in bidirectional flows within distribution level and to the upstream network. |
| 2 | The application of advanced metering and resulting massive need for exchange of information. |
| 3 | The growth in the application of HVDC and power electronics at all voltage levels and its impact on power quality, system control, and system security, and standardisation. |
| 4 | The need for the development and massive installation of energy storage systems, and the impact this can have on the power system development and operation. |
| 5 | New concepts for system operation and control to take account of active customer interactions and different generation types. |
| 6 | New concepts for protection to respond to the developing grid and different characteristics of generation. |
| 7 | New concepts in planning to take into account increasing environmental constraints, and new technology solutions for active and reactive power flow control. |
| 8 | New tools for system technical performance assessment, because of new Customer, Generator and Network characteristics. |
| 9 | Increase of right of way capacity and use of overhead, underground and subsea infrastructure, and its consequence on the technical performance and reliability of the network. |
| 10 | An increasing need for keeping Stakeholders aware of the technical and commercial consequences and keeping them engaged during the development of the network of the future. |

Table 2: Strategic directions of the TC (cf. Electra 249 April 2010)

| | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | The electrical power system of the future |
| 2 | Making the best use of the existing system |
| 3 | Focus on the environment and sustainability |
| 4 | Preparation of material readable for non technical audience |